EXHIBIT 315

L	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	IN RE: NATIONAL) MDL No. 2804
	PRESCRIPTION OPIATE)
4	LITIGATION,) Case No.
) 1:17-MD-2804
5) 1:1/-ND-2804
	THE DOCUMENT DELATED TO 1 How Don A
6	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO) Hon. Dan A.
	ALL CASES) Polster
)
7	
8	·
9	Tuesday, January 22, 2019
7.0	
10	
	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
11	CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12	
13	
14	
15	Videotaped 30(b)(6) Deposition of
	Walmart, through the testimony of Susanne
16	Hiland, held at 4206 South J.B. Hunt Drive,
	Rogers, Arkansas, commencing at 8:22 a.m., on
17	the above date, before Debra A. Dibble,
	Certified Court Reporter, Registered
18	Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime
	Captioner, Certified Realtime Reporter and
19	Notary Public.
20	
21	
22	
23	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
	877.370.3377 ph fax 917.591.5672
24	deps@golkow.com
25	

```
1
     for unusual orders of unusual size as the
2
     orders were being processed.
3
                   And how would they determine
            Q.
4
     whether an order was of unusual size?
            Α.
                   These were --
6
                   MS. TABACCHI: Beyond the
7
            scope.
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 These were
9
            long-tenured associates that
10
            understood the business and the fact
11
            that we were self-distributing.
12
            they saw the -- they saw the patterns.
13
            They worked with it every single day.
14
            Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER)
                                   They were using
15
     their memory? Is that correct?
16
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
17
            form.
18
                   THE WITNESS: They were using
19
            their experience.
20
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Were they using
            Ο.
21
     any written information to make those
22
     judgments?
23
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
24
            form.
                   Beyond the scope.
```

```
1
     the policy you're referring to? Where it
2
     says "From as early as 1994 until 2010,
     employees in Walmart's pharmacy distribution
     centers reviewed controlled drug stock
4
5
     exception reports, followed up on orders by
6
     speaking with pharmacists, and escalated
7
     issues to market and/or regional leadership
8
     as needed to investigate orders and to
9
     resolve concerns."
10
                   Is that the policy you're
11
     referring to?
12
                   Yes. As well as the bullet
           Α.
13
     that's at the very bottom of the page. So
14
     I'm on my document that, for the entire
15
     relevant time period, our distribution
16
     associates monitored orders.
17
           Ο.
                   Okay. Now, let's go in 2006,
18
     what specifically were the associates doing
     to monitor orders?
19
20
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
21
           form.
22
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 They were
23
           monitoring orders as they came into
24
           the distribution center, and again
```

```
1
            based on their knowledge and
2
            experience of the operations.
3
            were looking for outliers.
4
            that were outliers for them -- for
5
            their knowledge.
6
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Okay.
            Ο.
                                           And
7
     during this time period in 2006, Walmart had
8
     approximately 4,000 pharmacies; is that
9
     correct?
10
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
11
            form.
                   Beyond the scope.
12
                   THE WITNESS:
                                  That's
13
            approximate.
14
                   (BY MR. BOWER)
                                    Sounds about
            0.
15
     right?
16
                   Sounds good.
            Α.
17
                   And those pharmacies would be
            Ο.
18
     placing orders once a week; correct?
19
            Α.
                   They had the ability to place
20
     orders once a week. Not every pharmacy
21
     ordered every week.
22
                   Okay. And those orders that
            Q.
23
     came in could be for multiple products;
24
     correct?
```

```
reviewing orders for controlled substances
1
2
     any specific training with respect to those
3
     substances?
4
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
           form.
5
                   Beyond the scope.
6
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 There was
7
           training as -- as the associates came
8
           in around what their duties and
9
           responsibilities were.
10
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And what
           Q.
11
     specific training was provided in connection
     with monitoring for orders of controlled
12
13
     substances?
14
                   MS. TABACCHI: Same objections.
15
                   THE WITNESS: I don't have
16
           specific to that -- to that topic.
17
           What they understood was their
18
           responsibilities, how to perform their
19
           duties in their area of
20
           responsibility, and the nature of the
21
           items that they were --
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Well, how do
22
           Q.
23
     you --
24
                   -- handling.
           Α.
```

```
1
                                  If you would
                   MS. TABACCHI:
2
            allow her to complete her answer.
3
                   MR. BOWER: Sorry, go ahead.
4
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 I'm done.
5
                   (BY MR. BOWER)
                                   Well, your
            0.
6
     testimony is that they understood what their
     responsibilities were and how to perform
7
8
     their duties in the area. So my question is,
9
     how did they understand what their
10
     responsibilities were?
                   I gained that knowledge from
11
12
     speaking to the manager of the distribution
13
     centers, Scott, who had responsibility.
14
                   And what did Scott tell you
            Q.
15
     with respect to how associates who were
     reviewing orders of controlled substances --
16
17
     strike that.
18
                   What did Scott tell you with
19
     respect to the training for associates who
20
     were reviewing orders of substances in 2007?
21
            Α.
                   What he told --
22
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
23
            form.
24
                                  What he told me
                   THE WITNESS:
```

1	was that there were long-tenured
2	logistics associates that had many
3	of them had been in that building
4	since the day that it opened. They
5	understood their they understood
6	the products that they were
7	distributing. They understood their
8	role. And they were all engaged in
9	executing the policies and practices
10	at DC '45.
11	Q. (BY MR. BOWER) So is it a fair
12	statement that it was more their experience
13	and specific training that he had relied on
14	in reviewing orders for controlled
15	substances? ,
16	MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
17	form.
18	THE WITNESS: Their learning
19	how to do their job would be part of
20	their training. And Walmart has other
21	training plans. So to but specific
22	to order monitoring, it was part of
23	the job that they were trained to do.
24	Q. (BY MR. BOWER) But I just

```
1
     suspicious orders.
2
                   And I understand that they may
3
     not be reports of suspicious orders, but did
4
     Walmart use this information to monitor for
5
     orders that were suspicious?
6
           Α.
                   We used these as a way to
7
     communicate patterns to our partners in
8
     operations, as well as to provide information
9
     that was directly requested from
10
     Carolyn Adams at the DEA.
11
                   But -- and I -- I understand
           Ο.
12
     that's what they were used for. I'm just
13
     trying to confirm that these reports were not
14
     used at the DC 6045 to orders -- to review
15
     orders for controlled substances as they came
16
     in.
17
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
18
           form.
19
                   MR. BOWER: And I'll strike
20
                   I'll ask a better question.
21
           Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Were these
22
     controlled drug stock exception reports used
23
     by the associates at DC 6045 to monitor
24
     orders for controlled substances as they
```

```
1
     were -- came into the DC?
2
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
3
           form.
                   THE WITNESS: No. These were
5
           monthly reports.
6
                   MR. BOWER:
                               Thank you.
7
                   (BY MR. BOWER) If we can turn
           Ο.
8
     to bullet point -- going back to a few pages
9
     in Exhibit 1. Walmart's bullet points there.
10
                   For its additional policies.
11
                   Sorry, tab 1 of Exhibit 7.
12
     My -- thank you.
13
                   Are you there, the first bullet
14
     point there?
15
           Α.
                   Yes.
16
                   So when orders were followed up
            Ο.
17
     by speaking with pharmacists, were those
18
     orders that had been previously flagged as
19
     suspicious?
20
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
21
            form.
                   I'm sorry, Zach.
22
                   MR. BOWER:
                                Sorry.
23
                   MS. TABACCHI:
                                   Where are you?
24
                   MR. BOWER: Bullet point 1
```

1	Right?
2	Do you see that written there?
3	MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
4	form.
5	Q. (BY MR. BOWER) "Escalated
6	issues to market and/or region leadership as
7	needed to investigate orders." Right?
8	MS. TABACCHI: Zach, object to
9	the form. You need to read the entire
10	bullet and we've been on this bullet
11	for a long time.
12	MR. BOWER: We have, but we
13	haven't got much answer on it, have
14	we?
15	MS. TABACCHI: You're just not
16	satisfied with the answer you have.
17	MR. BOWER: No, I am satisfied.
18	I just don't think the witness
19	understands what I'm asking, and I'm
20	trying to ask it in a way that she
21	maybe understands.
22	Q. (BY MR. BOWER) So my question
23	is, when these orders are escalated to market
24	and/or region leadership as needed, what did

```
those folks do? I'm just trying -- what was
1
2
     the policy as to what those folks were
3
     supposed to do?
                   MS. TABACCHI: Asked and
5
           answered.
6
                   THE WITNESS: They would have a
7
           conversation with the logistics
8
           associate that was reaching out to
9
           them to understand what the question
10
           was, and then they would go find
           whatever information, validate what
11
12
           they had already heard from the
13
           pharmacist, or follow up specific to
14
           whatever the point of escalation was.
15
                   It says "as needed."
16
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And were there
           0.
17
     any written policies and procedures that
18
     quided what the market managers or region
19
     leadership was to do?
20
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
21
            form.
                                       This was a
22
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 No.
23
           practice of communication, and
24
            exchange between logistics and the
```

```
1
            Α.
                   In this order, it was item
2
     number SKU, NDC.
                        That would be --
3
            Q.
                   So that's one way an order
4
     would be flagged. Right? 50 bottles or more
5
     by item number; right? During this time
6
     period, from end of 2011 to 2015; right?
7
            Α.
                   Correct.
8
                   And then the other way an order
            Ο.
9
     is flagged is if it's 30 percent higher than
10
     a rolling four-week average for that item;
11
     correct?
12
                   That is correct.
            Α.
13
            Q.
                   Okay. For that second flag,
14
     with 30 percent higher than a rolling
15
     four-week average, were there any minimums
     that would be required to meet for an order
16
     to be flagged?
17
18
            Α.
                   Yes.
19
            Q.
                   And what were those minimums?
20
            Α.
                   An order between 0 and 10 items
21
     did not flag.
22
                   And what's the basis for that
            0.
23
     statement?
24
                   It's in the SOP of the document
            Α.
```

```
1
     that I read.
2
                   And that document is not
3
     referenced in this bullet point 4, is it?
4
            Α.
                   It is -- in bullet point 4 --
5
            Ο.
                   Yes.
б
                   -- it is not.
            Α.
7
                   Well, any order less than ten
            Q.
8
     items would not be flagged from 2011 to 2015;
9
     is that correct?
10
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
11
            form.
12
                   THE WITNESS: That is correct.
13
                                    And by -- when
            Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER)
14
     you referred to "ten items," you meant --
15
     what did you mean by "ten items"?
16
                   Ten -- ten units of a single
            Α.
17
     item NDC.
18
                   And a single item could be a
            Q.
19
     bottle of 100 dosages; correct?
20
            Α.
                   Correct.
21
            Q.
                   A single bottle could be a
22
     bottle of 500 dosages; correct?
23
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
24
            form.
```

```
1
            Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And are you
2
     referring now to like the Mike Mullins and
3
     Jeff Abernathys?
4
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
5
            form.
6
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
7
            Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Okay. Because
8
     I think I understand what you're saying,
9
     because Mr. Abernathy, for example, testified
10
     that he would do some reports if he was the
11
     first one in the office, for example.
12
     Correct?
13
            Α.
                   Correct. I read that in his
14
     deposition.
15
            Ο.
                   So under that circumstance, he
16
     would be the one responsible for reporting to
17
     the DEA a suspicious order?
18
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
19
            form.
20
                   THE WITNESS: If there was an
21
            order that was identified in his
22
            shift, he would be responsible for
23
            that.
24
                                   During this
            Q.
                   (BY MR. BOWER)
```

```
specific order on a specific day would be
2
     identified; is that correct?
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
3
            form.
5
                   THE WITNESS: I don't think
б
            I -- I don't think I understand what
7
           you're asking.
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And I
8
           Ο.
     appreciate that. Bullet point 3 refers to
9
10
     monthly reports; correct?
11
           Α.
                   Correct.
12
                   Okay. So those reports
            Q.
     wouldn't have been used during this time
13
14
     period, at least, to identify an order that
15
     came in on a specific day --
16
           Α.
                   Now I understand the question.
17
                   -- for you; correct?
            Q.
18
            Α.
                   Correct.
19
                   So let's go to the next bullet
            Q.
     point. The 50-bottle. The 2001-2015,
20
21
     50 bottles and 30 percent higher.
22
                   Do you see that?
23
            Α.
                   Yes.
24
                   Under that policy, practice, or
            Q.
```

```
1
            Q.
                   No.
                        My question is Reddwerks
2
     enhancements.
3
            Α.
                   The enhanced --
                   Yes.
            Q.
5
            Α.
                   Okay.
                          Thank you.
6
                   Yeah.
            Ο.
                          Sorry.
7
                   The enhanced thresholds were
            Α.
8
     calculated using a year's worth of shipment
9
     data, and then applying a formula, which was
10
     the average weekly order, plus three standard
11
     deviations over that 52-week shipment date.
12
            Q.
                   And when that formula was used,
13
     would that provide the enhancements for a
14
     particular store?
15
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
16
            form.
17
                   THE WITNESS: It was store and
18
            item specific. And there were
19
            additional defaults that were applied
20
            to those thresholds as they were
21
            calculated.
22
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And can you
            Ο.
23
     describe for us how those defaults -- let me
24
     break that down a little bit.
```

```
either. It wouldn't have served its
1
2
           purpose.
3
           Ο.
                   (BY MR. BOWER) Right. Well,
4
     did Walmart consider -- strike that.
5
                   Would you agree that, in
6
     getting the amount of an order that would
7
     flag right, as Mr. Greer writes, that Walmart
8
     did not want to flag too many orders?
9
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
10
           form.
                   Asked and answered.
11
                   THE WITNESS: That's one of the
12
           considerations. It was not the only
13
           consideration.
14
                   (BY MR. BOWER) What were the
           Ο.
     other considerations?
15
16
                   Not flagging too few of times.
           Α.
17
                   Okay. And by -- can you put a
           Q.
18
     more precise number on it what you mean by
19
     "not flagging too few of times"? What would
20
     be too few in your mind?
21
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
22
            form.
                   Beyond scope.
23
                   MR. BOWER: I'll strike that.
24
                   (BY MR. BOWER) What did Walmart
           Q.
```

```
1
     consider to be too few?
2
                   MS. TABACCHI: Same objections.
3
                   THE WITNESS: It would be
4
           something that didn't make sense for
5
           the business. That we were reviewing
6
           orders appropriately.
7
                   Again, the purpose of the
8
           program is to detect, and so the
9
           purpose -- not having enough alerts
10
           would potentially impact our ability
11
           to detect.
12
                   (BY MR. BOWER) And I was with
           Q.
13
     you just to the end of that. So I just -- I
14
     didn't follow the end of your statement where
15
     you said, "Not having enough alerts would
16
     potentially impact our ability to detect."
17
     What does that mean?
                   If there was a suspicious
18
           Α.
19
     order.
20
                   So if there's a suspicious
           0.
     order but you don't have enough alerts, it
21
22
     would impact your ability to detect that
23
     order; correct?
24
                   MS. TABACCHI: Object to the
```